

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/018,293 12/18/2001		Masanori Ayabe	350292001100	1682	
25227 75	590 08/20/2003				
MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP			EXAMINER		
SUITE 300	BOULEVARD		HAAS, WENDY C		
MCLEAN, VA 22102			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1661		
			DATE MAILED: 08/20/2003	'	
				/	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	₹				7100			
		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)	- Five			
Office Action Summary		10/018,2		AYABE ET AL.	•			
		Examine		Art Unit				
		Wendy C		1661				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe					Idress			
Period for Reply				•				
THE MAILING - Extensions of time after SIX (6) MON - If the period for re - If NO period for re - Failure to reply wi - Any reply received	D STATUTORY PERIOD FO DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC or may be available under the provisions of ITHS from the mailing date of this community specified above is less than thirty (30) the specified above, the maximum statuth thin the set or extended period for reply with the the office later than three months after adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evication. days, a reply within the state tory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be tin utory minimum of thirty (30) day ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)⊠ Respor	nsive to communication(s) file	d on <u>05 May 2003</u> .						
2a)☐ This ac	tion is FINAL . 21	b)⊠ This action is	non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims								
	<u>1-5</u> is/are pending in the app	nlication						
•	e above claim(s) is/are		nsideration					
·	is/are allowed.	williarawii iroiii oo	noideration.					
<u> </u>	1-5 is/are rejected.							
<u>—</u>	-				,			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
<u> </u>	ing(s) filed on <u>18 December 2</u>		cepted or b) objected t	to by the Examine	г.			
	nt may not request that any object			•				
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath	or declaration is objected to b	y the Examiner.						
Priority under 35	U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13)⊠ Acknowl	edgment is made of a claim fo	or foreign priority ur	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)⊠ All b)	☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	,						
1.□ Ce	ertified copies of the priority de	ocuments have bee	n received.					
2.□ Ce	ertified copies of the priority de	ocuments have bee	n received in Applicati	on No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.								
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment(s)	nace Cited (BTO 800)		∆ □ 1=4== :		7. 3			
	nces Cited (PTO-892) person's Patent Drawing Review (PT0 losure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pap			r (PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (PT				

Application/Control Number: 10/018,293

Art Unit: 1661

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ayabe et al. Ayabe et al. teach a method of culturing *Allium sativum* plants from a stem disc explant that forms a dome-shaped tissue in the absence of plant hormones. Ayabe et al. further teach that this method can be used to create virus-free plants. Finally, Ayabe et al. teach that the basal part of the foliage leaf also appeared to be an excellent explant for producing *in vitro* shoots in a preliminary experiment.

Ayabe et al. do not teach culture of a foliage leaf base to form a "domy tissue".

Ayabe et al. note that *in vitro* shoots progressively developed from the stem disc explant, beginning as "domy tissue" at one week of culture and progressing without interference to *in vitro* shoots at three weeks of culture. Ayabe et al. also note that electron microscopy revealed that "development of the *in vitro* shoots was restricted to regions surrounded by the basements of foliage leaves."

Application/Control Number: 10/018,293

Art Unit: 1661

. 77 '. 1661

A person of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to use Ayabe et al's method of

stem-disc culture with a basal leaf explant because Ayabe et al. noted the leaf base is an effective

explant and garlic bulbs contain more basal leaf material so more plants could thus be produced.

Ayabe et al. note that one major advantage to the method is its applicability to large scale

cultivation.

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Japanese

Patent Number 06197650 A in view of Ayabe et al.

The Japanese Patent teaches a method of culturing Allium sativum plants from a leaf base

explant in a hormone-free medium.

The teachings of Ayabe et al. are set forth above.

The Japanese Patent abstract does not teach culture of a foliage leaf base to form a "domy

tissue", a specific thickness of explant in millimeters, or generation of virus-free plants.

A person of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to use the method of Japanese

Patent Number 06197650 A to culture virus-free plants from callus (domy tissue) because Ayabe

et al. noted the leaf base is an effective explant and that tissue culture is an excellent method for

virus eradication in garlic.

Conclusion

No claims are allowed.

Future Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Wendy C. Haas whose telephone number is (703) 308-8898. The Examiner is normally available Monday through Friday from 9:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Bruce Campell, can be reached on (703) 308-4205. The fax number for the group is (703) 872-9306 for regular communications and (703) 872-9307 for After-Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Matrix Customer Service Center whose telephone number is (703) 872-9305

W. C. Haas

BRUCE R. CAMPELL, PH.D SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600